Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/030,868	KORCHEV ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan Hanley	1651
Participants: Status of Application: <u>after allowancel</u>		
(1) <u>Susan Hanley</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Doran Pace</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 April 2005</u>	Time: <u>4:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 32, 33, 43 and 44		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ex. Hanley agreed to amend claims 32, 33, 43 and 44 as requested by Mr. Pace to increase the clarity of the claim.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
1/12/9		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)